

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Ice Tee Hudson

Date of Original Judgment: 12/03/2015Date of Previous Amended Judgment: 06/24/2019

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 0419 3:15CR00094-001USM No: 30046-058

Pro Se

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of \_\_\_\_\_ months **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)


Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/17/2015 as amended on 07/18/2019, shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed: December 15, 2023

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_

(if different from order date)

  
 Frank D. Whitney  
 United States District Judge

**This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.**  
**(Not for Public Disclosure)**

DEFENDANT: Ice Tee Hudson  
CASE NUMBER: 0419 3:15CR00094  
DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)**

Previous Total Offense Level: <u>19</u>	Amended Total Offense Level: <u>19</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>V</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>V</u>
Previous Guideline Range: <u>57</u> to <u>71</u> months	Amended Guideline Range: <u>57</u> to <u>71</u> months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

**III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (*See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018)*)**

In this case, the application of Amendment 821 reduces the defendant's status points to one resulting in a total of 10 criminal history points, criminal history category V. Since the criminal history category does not change, the application of Amendment 821 provides no change in this case.